

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,479	09/24/2003	Steven G. Goebel	GP-303584	3973
75	90 10/07/2005		EXAM	INER
CARY W. BROOKS			HODGE, ROBERT W	
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300			1746	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{M}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commons	10/669,479	GOEBEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAN NO DATE (1)	Robert Hodge	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be not will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	<u>August 2005</u> .					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-42</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>13-42</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	nv (PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail					

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 8/16/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 13-42 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2003/0224239 hereinafter Carlstrom. The examiner notes that although applicants have defined terms differently in their specification, the definition provided by the examiner for "wiggle" in the previous office action falls entirely within the definition provided by applicants.
- 2. The examiner acknowledges that claim 40 no longer depends from claim 13 and now depends from claim 33 therefore it is no longer a duplicate claim and the objection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 13-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 13 and 42 recites the limitation "said second flow field plate" in line 6 of the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 13-22, 24, 28, 31, 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carlstrom.
- 8. Carlstrom teaches a proton exchange membrane fuel cell comprising membrane electrode assemblies which have two field flow plates having a plurality of channels wherein the channels are designed to be varied in shape and pattern, where the channels can be different sizes, and cross sectional areas and would therefore have varying land-to-land contact across the membrane with a pitch of one flow field plate being less than a pitch of another flow field plate in varying degrees and a thickness of the plate is less than 1mm. It is therefore the examiners position that because of the similar structure disclosed in the Carlstrom reference that said contact across the membrane would be about 30% as well as a land-to-land contact of about 30% (figures 5-7, paragraphs [0001]-[0004], [0012]-[0050] and claims 6, 7, 9 and 10).

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 23, 25-27, 29, 30 and 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carlstrom in view of U.S. Pre-Grant Publication No. 2002/0004158 hereinafter Suzuki.
- 11. Carlstrom teaches everything in the above 102 rejection.
- 12. Carlstrom does not teach the specific dimensions found in the above listed claims or that further structure comprises structure defining a vehicle powered by the fuel cell.
- 13. Suzuki teaches a proton exchange membrane fuel cell for an automobile comprising membrane electrode assemblies which have two field flow plates having a plurality of channels wherein the channels are designed to be varied in shape and pattern, where the channels can be different sizes, and cross sectional areas that have the same specific dimensions as those claimed in the present application, as well the same angles of the pitch (paragraphs [0002], [0023]-[0026], [0056]-[0059], [0063], [0066]-[0070], [0078]-[0079] and [0084]).
- 14. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the features of the Suzuki reference in the Carlstrom reference in order to provide a fuel cell that would be further optimized by reducing the size of the

Art Unit: 1746

flow field plate and reduce the amount of material required to manufacture the plate, thereby allowing for a more compact design. As well as providing a fuel cell in a vehicle in order to replace the internal combustion engine that would in turn provide a vehicle that operates using clean energy and reducing pollutants released to the atmosphere.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Hodge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RWH 10-3-05

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER